



Wong Man Kit, S.C.

Called to the Bar in HK in 1985

Wong Man Kit, S.C.

Call

Hong Kong (Admitted as a Barrister-at-Law)	1985
Hong Kong (Appointed as a Senior Counsel)	2001

Education

LL.B. (Hons)	The University of Hong Kong	1984
P.C.LL.	The University of Hong Kong	1985

Appointments and Services

Judicial Experience and Public Services

Deputy High Court Judge	2002
Deputy District Court Judge	1999

Member of the Election Committee as a Representative of the Legal Subsector responsible for electing the Chief Executive	2000-2005
Member of the Election Committee responsible for electing the Hong Kong Deputies to the National People's Congress of PRC	Since 2008
Member of the Criminal Court Users Committee	2003-2017
Member of the Committee on the Consultancy Study on the Demand for and Supply of Legal and Related Services	2005-2008
Member of the Council of Duty Lawyer Service	1997-2002
Honorary Consultant to the Society of Rehabilitation and Crime Prevention, Hong Kong (Mock Trial Justice Education Project)	since 2008

Services for the Hong Kong Bar Association

Member of the Bar Council	1997-2002
Member of the Special Committee on Criminal Law and Procedure	1997-2002
Member of the Special Committee on Discipline	1997-2002
Member of the Special Committee on Overseas Counsel's Admission	since 2008

Practice Profile

MK is a bilingual criminal silk who was appointed as a Senior Counsel at the age of 39 in 2001. He founded the Wong Man Kit SC's Chambers in 2010 and has been the Head of Chambers since then. MK specializes in both trials and appeals in criminal cases (both in English and in Chinese) in all levels of courts, with extensive experience in serious and complex white collar crimes such as money laundering , ICAC and CCB cases, corruption, misconduct in public office, commercial frauds, crimes involving listed companies,

securities related cases, and false accounting. MK's experience also covers a great variety of other cases like homicide, sexual offences, trafficking in dangerous drugs, coroner's inquest, and many others.

MK defended and advised in a number of high profile cases involving well-known individuals. MK has also prosecuted cases for the HKSAR and given advices to the DOJ. MK sat as a Deputy High Court Judge in 2002, and as a Deputy District Court Judge in 1999, hearing mainly criminal cases. MK's practice also includes giving advices to clients during investigation stages before criminal charges are laid.

Language

Fluent in Cantonese and English

Can communicate in Putonghua

Notable Cases

Update of Recent Cases

1. HKSAR v. Yau Shing Kin (邱承建) D1, Chu Tung Ki Aaron (朱東麒) D2 and Chu Tung Hang Byron (朱東恆) D3

This complicated trial took more than 80 working days in the District Court in 2020 to finish. It involves 3 medical eye specialists (ophthalmologists) formerly employed by the Caritas Hospital. They were charged with a number of offences of conspiracy to commit misconduct in public office. D1 also faced a charge of theft of medicine from the hospital. The prosecution alleged that the Defendants recommended private doctors to the patients while they were acting in the capacity of a public sector doctor, which involved conflict of interest. Complex factual and legal issues were central to this case, including the evidence of a prosecution witness giving evidence under immunity, the validity or otherwise of search warrants of the Defendant's computer and smart phones, intrusion into the constitutional privacy rights of the Defendants, the appropriateness and interpretation of guidelines issued by the Hospital Authority on the recommendation of private

doctors to patients by the public sector doctors, what would constitute conflict of interest, the admissibility of the covert recording of the Defendant's meeting and conversations by the ICAC, and the legal elements of the offence of misconduct in public office. MK represented D1 Dr. Yau Shing Kin, and led the defence in the lengthy trial. MK conducted extensive cross examination of the prosecution witness giving evidence under immunity, the Hospital Authority prosecution witnesses, and the patients prosecution witnesses. All 3 Defendants gave evidence on the special issue and general issues. MK did a thorough written and oral closing submissions covering every material factual and legal issue. In the end, all the Defendants were found not guilty and acquitted of all the charges.

2. HKSAR v. Yeoh Kim Loong Eugene (楊金隆) (D1) and Lum Chor Wah Richard (林楚華) (D2)

MK represented D1 in this District Court trial. D1 was the Senior Vice President of the Initial Public Offering (IPO) team in the Listing Department of the Hong Kong Stock Exchange, responsible for vetting all listing applications. D1 was charged with: (1) Conspiracy to offer advantages to a public servant, i.e. D1, advantages of a favour from D2 by D2 acting as a supporting member in D1's application for Jockey Club Racing Membership, and a gift of \$9.5m from D2, for D1 being favourably disposed to the IPO applications in which D2 was involved, and (2) Misconduct in public office, namely that D1 failed to disclose his conflict of interest with D2 in relation to the aforesaid two advantages offered by D2 and D1 failed to abstain from the deliberation and decision making in respect of the IPO applications.

MK did a thorough cross examination of the HK Stock Exchange and Jockey Club prosecution witnesses and brought out evidence relevant for the defence. D1 elected not to give evidence in Court, but called his wife to give evidence to explain clearly that the \$9.15m were for innocent purposes. MK made a systemic and detailed written and oral closing submission analyzing the factual and legal issues including the submission that there was no conflict of interest involved, and there was no element of corruption. After the trial which took about 20 working days, the court found that the defence

may be true, and that the Defendants were not guilty, and the Defendants were acquitted of all charges.

3. Lam Ching Sheung (林徵嫦) v. Ching Lin Chuen (程練傳) (STS 5870-5879 of 2021)

Ms Lam Ching Sheung initiated a private prosecution against the Defendant for 10 charges of theft, alleging that the Defendant in 1994 to 1995 misrepresented to Ms Lam that a certain company owned a certain land lot in Tai Po, cheating Ms Lam into investing \$8.7 million into a small house construction project. MK represented the Defendant. MK cross-examined Ms Lam on her previous reports to the police, her previous act versions in the relevant civil actions, and the improper conduct of Ms Lam in claiming against the Defendant in the Court documents, and the special features involved in small house development projects. The Defendant elected not to give evidence in Court. The Court agreed with MK's detailed closing submission, and importantly accepted MK's core submission that Ms Lam's evidence under cross examination was "appalling" (□慘不忍睹□), which did not have any credibility at all. As a result, the court found the Defendant not guilty of all charges, and awarded cost to the defence with a certificate for 2 counsel including a Senior Counsel.

4. HKSAR v 鄧皓文 (Tang Ho Man) A1 張志旺 (Cheung Chi Wong) A2 (CACC 40/2017, [2021]) HKCA 167)

MK represented A2 in the Court of Appeal in appeal against conviction of the offence of trafficking in dangerous drugs. The Court of Appeal accepted MK's submission that the trial judge failed to direct the jury that if the jury found that the police officer has done oppressive act on the Defendant in making him confess, then although the confession is true, the jury must still totally ignore the confession. Since the trial judge failed to do so, the appeal was allowed, the conviction was quashed, and a retrial was ordered.

5. HKSAR v 江昊嶸 A1, 羅少韓A2, 林泉漢A3

MK represented the 3 Appellants in a appeal against conviction of the offence of acting as an triad member. The Court of First Instance accepted MK's submission that the trial Magistrate did not properly deal with the identification evidence against A1, and allowed his appeal and quashed his conviction. The appeal by A2, A3 were dismissed.

6. HKSAR v Lau Chun Ting (劉俊霆) (HCMA 267/2013, [2021] HKCFI 219)

MK represented the Appellant in on appeal against conviction of the offence of indecent assault. The Appellant was the employer and friend of the alleged victim. The Court of First Instance accepted MK's argument that the trial Magistrate did not properly deal with the evidence of the alleged victim and an independent defence witness, and found that the conviction was unsafe. The appeal was allowed and the conviction was quashed.

7. HKSAR v Yeung Lai Ping (楊麗萍) (HCMA 251/2018, [2019] HKCFI 914)

MK represented the Appellant in an appeal against conviction of 2 offences of assault. The prosecution alleged that the Defendant assaulted his employee maid. The Court of First Instance accepted MK's submission that (1) the trial Magistrate erroneously treated certain evidence as corroboration evidence and relied on it to convict; (2) the Magistrate did not adequately consider the (discrepancies) and illogical aspect of the alleged victim's own evidence and those of the other witnesses. As a result, the Court of First Instance found that the convictions was unsafe, allowed the appeal and quashed the convictions.

8. 香港特別行政區 訴 高永雄 HCMA 35/2022. [2022] HKCFI 3275

MK represented the Appellant in the Magistracy Appeal in the Court of First Instance against the conviction of two charges of indecent assault. The

Appellant was the employer of a domestic helper, who claimed to be indecently assaulted by the Appellant at his home on two occasions. The Court of First Instance accepted the following submissions of MK: (1) The Magistrate erroneously found that the complainant made a recent complaint (2) The Magistrate erroneously relied on the evidence of the Appellant's bad character and propensity to commit crimes (3) The Magistrate did not properly consider the grave contradictions and inherent improbabilities of the complainant's evidence. The appeal was allowed and all the convictions were quashed. The Court also awarded the cost of the Appeal to the Appellant.

Previous Notable cases

1. Yan Sui Ling (嚴穗陵) v. HKSAR (2012) 15 HKCFAR 146

Madam Yan Sui Ling was convicted of a charge of money laundering in the District Court when she was defended by another Senior Counsel. MK conducted the appeal against conviction for the Defendant in the Court of Appeal against conviction. The Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal. MK conducted the Defendant's appeal to the Court of Final Appeal, and leave to appeal was granted on the limb of substantial and grave injustice. The Court of Final Appeal accepted MK's submissions and quashed lay client's conviction of money laundering. The case involved an issue of the impact of underground banking system in the mainland China on money laundering offences in Hong Kong, and whether the trial judge and the Court of Appeal had a wrong assessment of the evidence.

2. HKSAR v. Ye Fang and Another

(DCCC 1022/2012, CACC 299/2014)

MK defended Madam Ye Fang in a District Court trial who was charged with money laundering involving over \$200m. The prosecution alleged that Madam Ye's bank account transactions were inconsistent with her income. In the lengthy and complicated trial, the defence called a number of witnesses and produced bundles of documents to explain the transactions. Lay client was convicted after trial. MK conducted the appeal in the Court of Appeal

(being led by a London silk), and the appeal involved the application of the legal principles in money laundering cases arising from the Court of Final Appeal judgment in the case of Pang Hung Fai. The appeal was allowed, the conviction was quashed, and a retrial was ordered. Madam Ye was acquitted in the retrial in the District Court. MK did not conduct the retrial.

3. HKSAR v. Shum Kin Wing (沈建榮) and Another. (DCCC 175/2013, CACC 437/2013)

The Defendants were charged in the District Court with money laundering offences based on their bank account transactions and their tax returns. MK conducted their defence in this lengthy and complicated trial. The defence called many witnesses and produced a lot of documents to explain the bank account transactions. The Defendants were convicted after trial. MK conducted the appeal in the Court of Appeal and the convictions of both Defendants were quashed, and no retrial was ordered. The issues involved law points arising from the Court of Final Appeal case of Pang Hung Fai and whether the trial judge erred in the findings of facts.

4. HKSAR v. Cheung Hiu Kwong (張曉光) HCMA 24/2014

MK conducted the appeal in the Court of First Instance for the appellant against the conviction of money laundering charges and no retrial was ordered. It involved law points arising from the Court of Final Appeal judgement in Pang Hung Fai.

5. HKSAR v. Yeung Ka Sing Carson (楊家誠) CACC 101/2014

MK drafted the perfected grounds of appeal for Carson Yeung in the appeal to the Court of Appeal before the Court of Final Appeal judgement in Pang Hung Fai was released, and conducted the first bail pending appeal application which was adjourned. MK had no further involvement in this case afterwards.

6. HKSAR v. 楊思慨 DCCC 208/2013

MK conducted the defence in a money laundering trial for the Defendant in the District Court, who was a businessman involved in unlicensed currency exchange transactions of tens of millions of dollars. He was convicted after trial. The appeal was taken up by another counsel.

7. Appeals in the Court of Final Appeal

MK conducted a number of appeals for the Defendants to apply for leave to appeal to the Court of Final Appeal on various criminal charges. In the following cases, the appeals reached the full Court of Final Appeal:-

(I) Leung Chi Keung v. HKSAR (2004) HKCFAR 526

MK conducted a Magistracy Appeal against an indecent assault conviction, which was dismissed. MK obtained leave to appeal to the Court of Final Appeal. Leave to appeal was granted, inter alia, on a point of law of great and general importance on the evidence of distress in sexual cases. In the appeal, MK assisted the Court of Final Appeal to formulate a direction to the jury when the issue of evidence of distress of the victim is involved in a case of sexual offences.

(II) HKSAR v. Lam Sze Nga (2006) HKCFAR 162

MK conducted the successful appeal by the Defendant against a conviction of trafficking in dangerous drugs in the Court of Appeal and the conviction was quashed and a retrial was ordered. The DOJ appealed to the Court of Final Appeal on a point of law of great and general importance on the issue of the defendant's right of silence. MK conducted the Defendant's case in the Court of Final Appeal and succeeded in resisting the DOJ's appeal. The Defendant's conviction of the offence of trafficking in dangerous drugs stood quashed in the Court of Final Appeal.

(III) Ko Man Chun v. HKSAR (2010) 13 HKCFAR 123

MK conducted an appeal to the Court of First Instance and then to the Court of Final Appeal against a conviction of acting as a member of a triad society. MK argued that there was a mistaken finding of fact by both the Magistrate and the Court of First Instance. The Court of Final Appeal allowed the appeal

against conviction on the limb on substantial and grave injustice based on MK's argument.

(IV) Yan Sui Ling (嚴穗陵) v. HKSAR (2012) 15 HKCFAR 146

This case has been referred to hereinbefore.

(V) HKSAR v. CT FACC No 25 of 2018 [2019] HKCFA 26

MK represented the Appellant who was convicted by the jury of 4 counts of rape but was acquitted of the 5th count of rape. MK made extensive submission in respect of 2 points of law of great and general importance on (1) how should the trial judge direct the jury in case of sexual offences where the only direct evidence of the commission of the offences came from the complainant, and (2) what should be the proper approach of an appellate court towards inconsistent verdicts which were based on the uncorroborated evidence of the complainant. Although the appeal was dismissed, the Court of Final Appeal made clarifications on 2 important points of law with the assistance of MK.

8. HKSAR v. Wong Kwan (黃坤), Lew Mun-hung (劉夢熊), Yik Siu Hung and Another HCCC 561/2013

MK defended Madam Yik Siu Hung in the complicated and lengthy High Court jury trial of conspiracy to defraud and money laundering, which involved the purchase of an overseas oilfield by the listed company Pearl Oriental. Stay application and listing rules were some of the relevant issues. Lay client was convicted after trial.

9. HKSAR v. Wong Ying Ho Kennedy (黃英豪) and others (HCCC 409/2015, now DCCC 190/2017)

MK acted for Kennedy Wong Ying Ho (the former chairman of a listed company and a member of the Political Consultative Conference of the PRC) who was charged with 2 bribery offences. The trial was to take place before a jury in the High Court in February 2017 for 30 working days. The High Court transferred it to the District Court for a 40 days trial. Mr. Kennedy Wong was

acquitted in the District Court trial. MK represented Mr. Kennedy Wong in the Magistrates Court and the High Court, but did not conduct the District Court trial.

10. HKSAR v. Chow Heung-wing, Stephen and 2 others (HCCC 437/2015)

MK acted for Mr. Chow Heung-wing Stephen, who is alleged to be the owner and person in effective control of the companies in the “DR Group”. The Defendant is accused of manslaughter by gross negligence in relation to the death of a person after a procedure involving a cellular product was performed on the deceased. This complicated and lengthy trial was heard in the Court of First Instance before a jury, which lasted for 120 court hearing days. It began in May 2017 and finished in Dec 2017. Mr. Chow was convicted and was sentenced to 12 years of imprisonment. It involved numerous complicated medical experts’ evidence, and the issue of elements of the manslaughter offence.

11. HKSAR v. Chan Chun Chuen (陳振聰) (HCCC 182/2012)

MK acted for Chan Chun Chuen in his forged will criminal case in the High Court at a certain stage and made certain applications for him.

12. HKSAR v. Koon Wing Yee (官永義), Sham Man Keung and others (HCCC 66/2010)

Koon Wing Yee and his co-Defendants were charged with blackmailing Hui Chi Ming over a money dispute involving the shares of a listed company and possession of firearms. MK acted for one of the co-Defendants Sham Man Keung in the High Court jury trial. All the Defendants were acquitted after trial.

13. HKSAR v. Yip Kim Po (葉劍波) & Another HCCC 67 & 188/2008

MK defended Yip Kim Po, the chairman of 2 listed companies in a High Court lengthy and complicated jury trial. The jury returned a verdict of Not Guilty on all the 3 charges of commercial fraud after trial and the client was acquitted completely in this case. It involved complicated experts' evidence on money flow and whether there were false commercial transactions.

14. HKSAR v. Yip Wan Fung & Others DCCC 960/2007 & 551/2008

MK defended Yip Wan Fung, the sister of Yip Kim Po (葉劍波) in a lengthy and complicated case of commercial fraud and money laundering involving a listed company which ran over 80 working days in the District Court. Lay Client was convicted after trial. Other counsel took up the appeals in the Court of Appeal and the Court of Final Appeal, which were dismissed.

15. HKSAR v. Hu Jia Hua (2004)

MK defended in the District Court in an ICAC case in which corruption charges were laid against Hu Jia Hua, the vice-chairman of the Nanyang Tobacco Co., a subsidiary of the listed company Shanghai Industrial Ltd. The cautioned statement was ruled inadmissible and all charges were dismissed by the trial judge and lay client was acquitted completely.

16. HKSAR v. Lu Da Yong and others (2005-2008)

MK defended Lu Da Yong, the chairman of the aforesaid Nanyang Tobacco Co. who was charged with corruption and conspiracy to defraud in the District Court. The complicated trial went on for several months over 2 years. It involved a stay application based on infringement of legal professional privilege. Lay client became absent in the middle of the trial.

17. Secretary For Justice v. Chan Chi Wan Stephen (陳志雲) (R1) and Tsang Pei Kun (叢培崑) (R2) CACC 355 of 2011 and CACC 103 of 2012

MK represented R2 in the TVB employee corruption case. The prosecution

appealed against the Defendants' first acquittal in the District Court and the appeal was heard in November 2012 (The first appeal). The Court of Appeal quashed the acquittal and ordered a resumption of trial in the District Court. In the resumed trial in the District Court, the Defendants were again acquitted (the 2nd acquittal). MK represented R2 in the first appeal in the Court of Appeal and the resumed trial in the District Court. MK had no further involvement in this case since the 2nd acquittal. Both R1's and R2's convictions were finally quashed by the Court of Final Appeal in March 2017. The Court of Final Appeal confirmed that MK's argument in the first appeal in the Court of Appeal that the prosecution has to prove that the agent's act was detrimental to the principal's interest was correct.

18. HKSAR v. Chan Kar Leung & Others (2004-2006)

MK defended Chan Kar Leung, the chairman of a listed company in a High Court jury trial. The defendant was charged with LC frauds and false accounting. The trial went on for about 2 months. Lay client was convicted after trial. MK represented the client in his appeal in the Court of Appeal. The Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal and MK advised the client to appeal to the Court of Final Appeal. His appeal against conviction was finally allowed in the Court of Final Appeal. (The Court of Final Appeal case was done by another Senior Counsel).

19. HKSAR v. John Wong (DCCC 694/2011)

MK prosecuted on fiat in an ICAC investigated case against the former Head of the Department of Surgery of the Hong Kong University. The Defendant was convicted of 2 counts of false accounting and 2 counts of misconduct in public office after trial in the District Court.

20. HKSAR v. Kan Ping Chee Brian (簡炳壀) (HCMA 48/2012, FAMC 64/2012)

The former multiple Champion Horse Trainer Kan Ping Chee was convicted

of an election offence when he was represented by another Senior Counsel in the trial. M.K. took up the appeal and argued his appeal in the High Court and the Court of Final Appeal. His appeals were dismissed.

21. MK defended many other ICAC investigated corruption and fraud charges in both the private and public sectors. In the following trials, all the Defendants were acquitted of all the charges after trial:-

(i) HKSAR v. Li Ling Sau and Another (KCCC 3688/2014)

The Defendant was the principal of a well-known kindergarten who was charged with accepting bribes from a parent of a student.

(ii) HKSAR v. Law Ying Mo (2006)

(iii) HKSAR v. Cheung Yin Ming (2006)

(iv) HKSAR v. Kan Kwok Leung and others (2006)

(v) HKSAR v. Lau Chin Pang and Another (2008)

(vi) HKSAR v. Lo Kwai Wing (2008)

(vii) HKSAR v. Liu Tin Luk (2004)

(viii) HKSAR v. Chan Chi Man and Another (2002)

(ix) HKSAR v. Tam Tak Lung KCCC 2393/2011

22. DCCC 647/2001 (2002)

MK defended one of the Defendants in the District Court case, in which his client and a dentist were charged by the ICAC for defrauding the Yan Chai group of hospitals. The client was acquitted of all charges after trial.

23. MK has advised well-known clients in commercial crimes and corruption cases whose names cannot be disclosed for confidentiality reasons. For example, MK advised one of the persons under arrest by the ICAC in relation to the case of misconduct in public office involving the former Chief Secretary Rafael Hui Si-yan (許仕仁).

24. HKSAR v. Ho Tsan Lam HCCC 322/2011

MK defended a defendant charged with a serious case of drug trafficking in the High Court. The Defendant was acquitted after trial in which the cautioned statement was ruled inadmissible after a voir dire.

25. HKSAR v. Ho Kam Kau DCCC 989/2011

MK defended a money laundering case in the District Court. The Defendant was acquitted after trial.

26. HKSAR v. Chan Siu & Others (2007)

MK defended all the Defendants (who belonged to the same family) in a District Court trial, who were charged with copyright offences and money laundering. All Defendants were acquitted of all the charges after a trial which lasted for more than a month.

27. HKSAR v. Ip Man Man (2003)

MK defended the chairman of a listed company in a District Court case who was charged with stealing money from his own company. The Defendant was acquitted after trial.

28. HKSAR v. Yuan Gui Ying DCCC 260/2010

MK defended a money laundering case involving experts' evidence on money laundering. Lay client was convicted after trial.

29. HKSAR v. Lee wing Kan and others (2006)

MK defended the chairman of a listed company who was charged with conspiracy to defraud (LC frauds). The trial went on for about 2 months in

the District Court. The client was convicted after trial.

30. HKSAR v. Agnes Wong Kin Yee (2008)

MK defended in the District Court the 4th generation owner of Wong Lo Kut Herbal Tea who was charged with false accounting by the ICAC. Lay client was convicted after trial.

31. HKSAR v. Law Kam Fai (2005)

MK defended in the District Court the chairman of a rural committee and a member of the Election Committee who was charged with conspiracy to steal the rocks of the Tung Chung River. The trial lasted for about 2 months. Lay client was convicted after trial.

32. MK represented Christie Wo Man Shan, owner of the watch brand Philip Stein, in the Insider Dealing Tribunal inquiry into the trading of shares in Vanda Systems and Communications Holding Ltd. (2005-2006) The case went on for several months over 2 years.

33. HKSAR v. Wong Kwai Keung (2001)

MK defended the brother of Wong Kwai Fun in a bookmaking case in the District Court. The Defendant was convicted after trial.

34. HKSAR v. Law Kam Fat (2001)

MK was able to stay the prosecution in the High Court trial in a drug trafficking case on the ground that evidence in favour of the defence had become unavailable because of the lapse of time. Lay client was released from custody immediately afterwards.

35. HKSAR v. Lau Tung Ping (2005)

MK defended the former goal-keeper of the HK Soccer Team who was charged with rape in the High Court. Subsequently, the client's conviction was quashed on appeal in the Court of Appeal. (The appeal was done by another Senior Counsel).

36. HKSAR v. Tsang Chung Chim (2005)

MK defended a police senior inspector who was charged with bookmaking and money laundering. Lay client was convicted after trial.

37. Ada Siu Yin Law v. Lo Hung Kwan (1999) [WSS8498-7/1999]

In a private prosecution, MK (being led by a Queen's Counsel) acted for the Defendant Lo Hung Kwan, who was charged with forging a will. The Magistrate granted MK's application to stay the prosecution on the grounds, inter alia, of delay of prosecution and the ulterior motive of the prosecutor.

38. Application for a summons of private prosecution against Madam Chan Sock Fun (陳淑芬) ESMP 9236/2016

MK represented Madam Chan Sock Fun (陳淑芬), the former organizer of Jacky Cheung's concerts, in resistance to an application for a summons of private prosecution for conspiracy to defraud against Madam Chan. The application was dismissed by the Magistrate for lack of merits.

39. HKSAR v. Tang Kin Kwong CACC 488/2002

On invitation by the judiciary, MK acted as amicus curiae to assist the Court of Appeal in a murder appeal on an important point of law.

40. MK has represented medical doctors in death inquests in the coroner's court in cases involving the death of patients after surgical

operations.

41. HKSAR v. 孫家強 (Suen Ka Keung) KTCC 3302/2011

The defendant was an osteopathic physician (bone-setter), who was charged with indecent assault against a female TV celebrity in the course of the defendant's treatment of her. MK successfully conducted the defence for him and the defendant was acquitted after trial.

42. Appeals in the Court of Appeal and the Court of First Instance

MK has conducted numerous appeals against convictions and sentences in the Court of Appeal and the Court of First instance apart from the cases mentioned above. In the following cases, the appeals against convictions were allowed and the convictions were quashed:-

(1) HKSAR v. Chan Pun Chung (陳濱松) and Tang Yan Leung (鄧恩亮)
CACC 229/2014

[Charge: blackmail]

(2) HKSAR v. 何濟綱
HCMA 328/2014

[Charge: indecent assault]

(3) HKSAR v. 溫日文
HCMA 67/2014

[Charge: indecent assault]

(4) HKSAR v. 區炳威
HCMA 754/2012

[Charge: fraud]

(5) HKSAR v. 許育彬
HCMA 203/2012

[Charge: indecent assault]

(6) HKSAR v. 岑仲樑

HCMA 8/2012

[Charge: indecent assault]

(7) HKSAR v. 王孟順

HCMA 852/2011

[Charge: indecent assault]

(8) HKSAR v. 張志聰

HCMA 744/2011

[Charge: dangerous driving causing grievous bodily harm; the conviction was reduced to careless driving]

(9) HKSAR v. 朱振偉

HCMA 485/2011

[Charge: assaulting and resisting police officer]

(10) HKSAR v. 曾永祥

HCMA 133/2011

[Charges: indecent assault and others]

(11) HKSAR v. 盧小江 and 盧小雄

HCMA 152/2011

[Charges: offences against the Estate Agent Ordinance]

(12) HKSAR v. Tsang Sui Cheung 曾瑞祥

CACC 102/2010

[Charge: attempted robbery]

(13) HKSAR v. 周發強

HCMA 718/2010

[Charge: indecent assault]

(14) HKSAR v. 楊鴻基 and others

HCMA 210/2010

[Charge: assault occasioning actual bodily harm]

(15) HKSAR v. 邱穎思 and Another

HCMA 789/2009

[Charge: an offence against the Trade Description Ordinance]

(16) HKSAR v. 潘志明

CACC 213/2008

[Charge: conspiracy to defraud]

(17) HKSAR v. 薛科斯

HCMA 635/2008

[Charge: indecent assault]

(18) HKSAR v. 江靜茹

HCMA 347/2008

[Charge: assault occasioning actual bodily harm]

(19) HKSAR v. 徐杏村

HCMA 1216/2007

[Charge: indecent assault]

(20) HKSAR v. Wong Shuk Fong

HCMA 1225/2007

[Charge: employing a person not lawfully employable]

(21) HKSAR v. Chau Hon Kwong

CACC453/2006

[Charge: trafficking in dangerous drugs]

(22) HKSAR v. 陳永權

HCMA 1081/2006

[Charge: conspiracy to accept a bribery]

(23) HKSAR v. 蔡有成

HCMA 546/2006

[Charge: gaining access to a computer with dishonest intent]

(24) HKSAR v. Jarhia Kuldeep Singh

CACC 96/2006

[Charge: trafficking in dangerous drugs]

(25) HKSAR v. Lee Ka Ming and others

HCMA 223/2006

[Charge: assisting in the management of a vice establishment]

(26) HKSAR v. 陳永賢

HCMA 209/2006

[Charge: indecent assault]

(27) HKSAR v. Lee Shing Hung

HCMA 903/2005

[Charge: offences under the Theft Ordinance]

(28) HKSAR v. 江潤球

CACC 197/2004

[Charges: bookmaking and money laundering]

(29) HKSAR v. Li Chun Ming

CACC 32/2003

[Charge: detaining forcibly a person with intent to procure a ransom for his liberation]

(30) HKSAR v. Chau Hon Kwong

CACC 234/2004

[Charges: trafficking in dangerous drugs]

(31) HKSAR v. 吳永光

HCMA 351/2004

[Charge: assault occasioning actual bodily harm]

(32) HKSAR v. 賴文財 and 吳瑞麟

CACC 628/2002

[Charge: assault with intent to rob]

(33) HKSAR v. Au Koon Yip and Others

CACC 168/2003

[Charge: wounding with intent]

(34) HKSAR v. 何瑞洪 and others

HCMA 339/2003

[Charge: attempt to pervert the course of justice]

(35) HKSAR v. 李志強

HCMA 259/2003

[Charge: indecent assault]

(36) HKSAR v. 戴曉東

HCMA 272/2003

[Charge: disorderly conduct in public place]

(37) HKSAR v. 羅兆麒

HCMA 53/2003

[Charge: indecent assault]

(38) HKSAR v. 郭惠紅

CACC 161/2001

[Charge: trafficking in dangerous drugs]

(39) HKSAR v. 趙鳳絹 and 嚴之成

CACC 475/2001

[Charge: trafficking in dangerous drugs]

(40) HKSAR v. Lam Chiu Chuen and others

HCMA 288/2002

[Charge: conspiracy to defraud]

(41) HKSAR v. Fan Wai Kit

HCMA 33/2002

[Charge: indecent assault]

(42) HKSAR v. Sung Siu Kam

HCMA 1221/2001

[Charge: theft]

(43) HKSAR v. 張偉達 and others

HCMA 37/2001

[Charges: assaulting and resisting police officers]

(44) HKSAR v. Cheng Chung Ming

HCMA 210/2000

[Charges: false accounting]

(45) HKSAR v. Tsang Tat Yan and others

CACC 262/1998

[Charges: evasion of liability by deception]

(After the conviction was quashed by the Court of Appeal, the prosecution charged the Defendant with another offence based on substantially the same facts. The District Council Judge granted MK's application for stay of the prosecution in the 2nd District Court trial).

(46) HKSAR v. Lee Yan Wing and Another

CACC 176/1993

[Charges: robbery and possession of offensive weapons]

(47) HKSAR v. Lui Tak Fai

HCMA 195/1991

[Charge: indecent assault]

43. The Seven Policemen Case (7警上訴案)

HKSAR v. Pak Wing-bun (A3) and others CACC 38/2017

MK is acting for Police Sergeant Pak Wing-bun in the 7 policemen's appeal (7警上訴案) in which the 7 policemen defendants were convicted in the District Court of assaulting the protester Tsang Kin Chiu in the Occupy Central Incident and were sentenced to 2 years of imprisonment. MK got bail pending appeal for A3 in the Court of Appeal and conducted the appeal against conviction and sentence for him, which took 3 days of hearing. A3's appeal against convictions was dismissed, but his appeal against sentence was allowed with a reduction of the sentence by a few months.

[Shortlist](#)

Money Laundering

(see Notable cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 25, 26, 28, 36, 42(28)).

Corruption, Commercial frauds, and ICAC investigated Cases

(see Notable cases 8, 9, 11, 13-23, 27, 29-31, 42(1, 4, 11, 15, 16, 22, 27, 40, 42, 44, 45).

Appeals

(see Notable cases 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 17, 20, 42).

High Court Trials

(see Notable cases 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 18, 24, 35, 36).

Sexual Offences

(see Notable cases 7, 35, 41, 42 (2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 10, 13, 17, 19, 25, 26, 35, 37, 41, 47).

Trafficking in dangerous drugs

(see Notable cases 7, 24, 34, 42(21, 24, 30, 38, 39).

Bookmaking

(see Notable cases 33, 36, 42(28).

Homicide and Coroner's inquest

(see Notable cases 10, 39, 40).

Copyright Offences

(see Notable case 26).

Insider Dealing

(see Notable case 32).

Private Prosecution

(see Notable cases 37, 38).Commercial Crimes

General Crimes



Anthony W.M. Yuen

Called to the Bar in HK in Sept 1988

Anthony W.M. Yuen

Call

Hong Kong	1988
------------------	-------------

Education and awards

LL.M.	The University of Hong Kong	1989 - 1991
P.C.LL.	The University of Hong Kong	1987 - 1988
LL.B.	The University of Hong Kong	1984 - 1987
BSc Soc. Sc.	The Chinese University of Hong Kong	1976 - 1980

Appointment and services

Court Prosecutor, AG's Chambers (Government Legal Training Scholarships from 1984 - 1988)	1981-88
Assistant Crown Counsel, AG's Chambers	1988-1989
Crown Counsel, AG's Chambers (Prosecution Section)	1989-1991
Barrister at law	1991-1994
Magistrate (Deputy District Court Judge on a number of occasions)	1994-2016

Barrister at law (mainly practise criminal law)
--

2016-present

[Shortlist](#)

General Crimes



Hatten S.F. Kong

Called to the Bar in HK in 1994

Hatten S.F. Kong

Professional Qualification

Call to the Hong Kong Bar	1994
----------------------------------	-------------

Academic Background

P.C.LL.	City University of Hong Kong	1993 - 1994
LL.B.	City University of Hong Kong	1990 - 1993
	Birmingham City University	1988 - 1990

Career History

Private Practice at Lawrence Lok S.C, Q.C.'s Chambers	1994-1998
Vice President of Oriental Press Group (Company Secretary, Publisher of various magazines and websites, Legal Department, I.T. Department, etc.)	1998-2005
Radar Company Limited (Family Business of 58 years history)	2005-2010
Private Practice at M.K. Wong S.C.'s Chambers	2010-present

Practice Profile

Appearing as advocate in different levels of Court in Hong Kong for both civil and criminal matters. At the same time engaged in advisory works regularly.

Language

Fluent in Cantonese and English.

Some knowledge of Putonghua.

Notable Cases

Criminal Law

(White collar crimes involving ICAC and CCB investigations, Restraint Orders, Legal Professional Privilege, Sexual Offenses, Mental Health cases, Immigration cases, Industrial summons)

- Secretary For Justice v Chan Chi Wan Stephen (R1) and Tsang Pei Kun (R2) [CA355/2011 and CA103/2012]

- Representing R2 in the TVB related ICAC investigation case at Court of Appeal (Led by Mr. MK Wong SC)

- Secretary For Justice v Chan Chi Wan Stephen (R1) and Tsang Pei Kun (R2) [DCCC1214/2010]

- Representing R2 at the resumed trial at District Court (Led by Mr. MK Wong SC)

- HKSAR v Wong Kin Yee Agnes [CACC9/2009]

- Appeal on conviction of LC Fraud (Led by Mr. MK Wong SC)

- In the Matter of YIK Siu-hung (R3) & others [HCMP2598/2013]

- Representing Ms. Yik in the recent Wong Kwan and Lew Mon-hung High Court Fraud case for variation of the property restraint orders

- Re: A Listed Company in foreign dairy business

- Represented client to prevent privileged documents from reviewing by ICAC.

- HKSAR v Eric Tsang [HCMA133/2011]

- Successful appeal on conviction of sexual offences (Led by Mr. MK Wong SC)

- HKSAR v Dr. Suen [KTCC3302/2011]

- Defended client in a charge of indecent assault involving a TV

celebrity (Led by Mr. MK Wong SC)

- HKSAR v R. Wong [TMCC2422/2010]
- Defended client (a successful businessman working for a consulate) in a charge of indecent assault took place in public transportations.

- HKSAR v A. Au-yeung [KTCC875/2015]
- Defended client in a commercial fraud and deception trial of 10 days.

- HKSAR v W.M. Ho [DCCC417/2015]
- Defended client in a serious wounding case with a plead of insanity under Mental Health Ordinance

- HKSAR v J. CAI [ESCC2417/2016]
- Defended client (a successful businessman in IT field) in a charge of indecent assault (Led by Mr. MK Wong SC)

- Defended various principal contractors in a vast number of industrial summonses (SOGO, Unistress, REC Engineering, Nixon Technology, Kwan On Construction, Wing Ming Constructions, Joint Effort Engineering, New Hopes Construction, Yau Lee Construction, etc.)

- Represented a large local logistic company in a matter involving its foreign employees

- Represented a famous franchised Taiwanese restaurant with foreign employees working in HK.

- Represented the chairman of a HK listed PRC company in a matter with the Immigration Department (Led by Mr. Lawrence Lok SC)

- Advised and represented clients (both corporate and individuals) in commercial crimes cases, whose names cannot be disclosed for sensitivity and confidentiality reasons.

Civil Law

(Broad spectrum of practice including Lands and contract, Adverse Possession, Shareholders' dispute and insolvency, Defamation, Probate, Intellectual property, Family and Dependents' Maintenance, Family Trust)

Commercial and Company Law

-

- Re: Smart Yield Corp. Ltd. [HCMP 1417/2013]

- Represented a company director/shareholder in resisting an application for production of company accounts by another director/shareholder. (The Hon Harris J awarded indemnity costs

against the other party)

- Re: New Higgs Boson [HCA 2228/2013]
- Shareholders' dispute with enforcement of anti-competition agreement under duress of a company pioneered the real-life escape gaming business in H.K.

Insolvency

-

- Re: F.H. Ng (Debtor) [HCB 5967/2014]
- Represented a major insurance company in a petition for bankruptcy against one of its former agent.
- Re: W. Chong (Debtor) [HCB 485/2011]
- Represented a senior insurance agent in opposition to a petition for bankruptcy by his employer (the insurance company eventually withdrew the petition before the substantive hearing).
- Re: R. Wong (Debtor) & W.K. Wong [HCB 7588/2012]
- Representing the spouse of a well-known Chinese antique statute and artifacts collector in bankruptcy hearings.

Probate and Administration

-

- Re: The late L.Y. Lau [HCMP 3263/2013 & FCMP 71/2014]
- Represented the executor in a contentious probate action with a claim under the Inheritance (Provision For Family and Dependents) Ordinance. (The Caveat was subsequently withdrawn.)
- Re: The late Ms. Ki
- Representing a foreign executor in a contentious action with a claim under the Inheritance (Provision For Family and Dependents) Ordinance.
- Re: A senior member of a well-known family
- Advised on the validity and distribution of properties involving a large portfolio of assets of a well-known businessman in HK.

-

- Re: A senior family member's testamentary arrangements
- Advising on the execution and validity of testamentary instruments of a senior member of a family famous in the gourmet industry.

Defamation

-

- Various Oriental Press Group related defamation cases (1998-2005).

- Advised and represented clients (public figures, celebrities and well-known organizations) in defamation matters whose identities cannot be disclosed for confidentiality reason.

-

- [HCA147/2011]
- Represented the Defendant in a high publicity defamation case involving members of the family of a well-known real estate developer in HK (Led by Mr. Jason Pow SC)

- [HCA 820/2013]
- Representing the Plaintiff (a reputable businessman active in charitable activities) in a defamation claim against a clan's men association (Led by Mr. Jason Pow SC)

-

- Re: online defamatory publications
- Representing members of a well-known family in a defamation claim against a media publisher (Led by Mr. Jason Pow SC)

[Shortlist](#)

Commercial Crimes

General Crimes

Family Law

General Civil and Chancery Practice

Judicial Review and Public Law Matters

Personal Injuries and Employees' Compensation



Douglas K.H. Kwok

Called to the Bar in HK in Sept 1998

Douglas K.H. Kwok

Call

Called to the Bar of Hong Kong	1998
---------------------------------------	-------------

Education

LL.B. (Hons)	The University of Hong Kong	1996
P.C.LL.	The University of Hong Kong	1998
Certificate in Rapid Mental Interventions		2012

Appointment and services

Consultant to the Legal Advisor of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data	1997-98
Chairman of the Young Barristers' Committee	2003
Member of the Bar Council	2003
Trainer to the Hong Kong Bar on Online Legal Research	2002-2005
Deputy Special Magistrate	2005
Trainer to the Judiciary on Electronic Legal Research	2006
Deputy Magistrate	2006-2010
Honorary Consultant to the Society of Rehabilitation and Crime Prevention (Mock Trial Justice Education Project)	Since 2009
Trainer to officers of the Labour Department on Law of Evidence (1-day Workshop)	2012-2014
Trainer to officers of the Labour Department on Prosecuting Labour Offences (Advanced Courses)	2013-2014
Member of the Election Committee (Legal Sub-Sector)	2016

Practice Profile

Douglas specializes in criminal defence work. His motto is to endeavour to protect his clients from being convicted except by a competent tribunal and upon legal evidence sufficient to support a conviction for the offence with which his client is charged. Doug's judicial experience, together with his calm character, has well equipped him to defend any difficult and technical cases.

The following areas form a large part of Doug's practice (in 2019-2022):

Public Order and Criminal Justice Offences

Civil Liberties and Constitutional Law

Computer Crimes and Telecommunications

Explosives and Ammunition

Dangerous Goods

Perverting the course of public justice

Offences under the Prison Rules

Election Offences

Corrupt and Illegal Practices

Commercial Crimes

Money Laundering and Conspiracy to Defraud

Fraud, Credit Card Fraud and False Accounting

Technical Defence Work

Engineers Registration Board – Inquiry Committee

Medical Council – Disciplinary Inquiry

Pharmacy and Poisons Board – Disciplinary Inquiry

Police Disciplinary Hearing by the Appropriate Tribunal

General Criminal Work

Copyright Offences

Customs and Excise Offences

Immigration Offences

Triads Offences

Sexual Offences

Murder and Personal Violence

Driving Offences

Industrial Summonses

Dangerous Drugs

Preliminary Inquiries

Mental Health

Pro-bono Work

Douglas regularly undertakes pro-bono work under the Bar Free Legal Service Scheme by the Hong Kong Bar Association and the Clinical Legal Education Programme by HKU. He is most ready to offer pro-bono trial and appeal advocacy especially for the under-privileged and mental health

patients.

Notable Cases

Douglas fights every case with utmost professionalism. He defended many high profile cases involving many celebrities but Doug prefers not to label any single case as notable to avoid comparison. If you would like to observe Doug's court advocacy, you are welcome to contact his secretary for seeing any of his open court cases.

Publications

Author in Annotated Ordinances of Hong Kong: Magistrates' Ordinance (Chapter 227)	2008
Author in Annotated Ordinances of Hong Kong: Interception of Communication & Surveillance Ordinance (Chapter 589)	2013
Author in Butterworths Hong Kong Media & Communication Handbook	2014

Hobbies

His main hobbies are in the law of evidence and studying the inner game of things, for example, rapid mental interventions and neuro-linguistic programming.

[Shortlist](#)

Commercial Crimes

General Crimes

Judicial Review and Public Law Matters

Civil Liberties

Public Order Offences



Amanda W.M. Li

Called to the Bar in HK in 2001

Amanda W.M. Li

Call

Barrister-at-Law	Hong Kong	2001
Legal Practitioner	Supreme Court of New South Wales, Australia	2000
Barrister-at-Law and Solicitor	High Court of New Zealand	1999

Education

P.C.LL.	The University of Hong Kong	2001
Law Professional Course	The Institute of Professional Legal Studies of New Zealand	1999

LL.B. (Hons)	The University of Auckland, New Zealand	1999
---------------------	--	-------------

Appointment and services

Trainer for the officers of the Labour Department on Investigation, Prosecution, Court Procedures and on matters of Minor Employment Claims Adjudication Board	2014,2019, 2021-2023
Part-time Lecturer for “Criminal Procedure” at The University of Hong Kong for Bachelor of Laws degree	Fall 2016
Deputy Special Magistrate	2009

Practice Profile

Amanda Li practices in criminal and civil law.

Amanda practices in all areas of criminal law, specializing in complexed commercial crimes and money laundering. Amanda regularly appears as junior counsel defending established individuals in all levels of court including the Court of Final Appeal. Amanda is briefed by the Department of Justice to prosecute in selected cases in the District Court. Amanda sat as a Deputy Special Magistrate in 2009.

In her civil commercial practice, Amanda appears in the High Court as advocate in her own right and as junior counsel in cases involving fraud, shareholders and contractual disputes.

Language

Amanda conducts cases in both Cantonese and English and speaks conversational Putonghua.

Selected Cases

Criminal cases

1. Yan Sui Ling (嚴穗陵) v. HKSAR [2012] 15 HKCFAR 146

Chinese investor Madam Yan Sui Ling was convicted of a charge of money laundering in the District Court. Amanda, led by her Head of Chambers, Mr. Wong Man Kit S.C. conducted the appeal in the Court of Appeal against the conviction. The Court of Appeal dismissed the appeal. Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., further conducted the appeal in the Court of Final Appeal, and leave to appeal was granted on the limb of substantial and grave injustice. The Court of Final Appeal accepted their submissions and quashed Madam Yan's conviction. The case involved the significant issue of the underground banking system in mainland China on money laundering offences in Hong Kong.

2. HKSAR v. Shum Kin Wing (沈建榮) and Another (CACC No. 437/2013; DCCC No. 175/2013)

The Defendants were charged with money laundering offences based on their five years' bank account transactions and their tax returns. Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., defended the Defendants and they were convicted of a number of charges after trial. Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C. conducted the appeal in the Court of Appeal and the convictions were quashed, and no retrial was ordered. The issues involved points of law arising from the Court of Final Appeal's decision in Pang Hung Fai and whether the trial judge erred in his findings of facts and his application of the test as expounded in Pang Hung Fai.

3. HKSAR v. Ng Yiu Chung (吳耀宗) [2025] HKDC 223

In 2018, a school bus rolled downhill in North Point, resulting in a fatal accident that killed five people and seriously injured two others. In 2019, the

Defendant was summoned for vacating his vehicle without setting the brake, to which he pleaded guilty and was fined HK\$2,000. In 2023, he was re-arrested and charged with more serious offences, namely, dangerous driving causing five deaths and grievous bodily harm to two others. The matter was transferred to the District Court for trial. Amanda, representing the Defendant applied for a permanent stay of proceedings, relying on the rule against double jeopardy「免受雙重損害」原則which extends beyond the principle of autrefois convict/ acquit「一罪兩審」).

Amanda successfully obtained a permanent stay of the proceedings on the grounds that the second prosecution was based on the same or substantially similar facts, with no special or exceptional circumstances to justify it. Consequently, the rule against double jeopardy was breached, constituting a violation of Article 11(6) of the Hong Kong Bill of Rights which mandates a permanent stay of proceedings in such cases. Even if Article 11(6) did not apply, the court would still have exercised its discretion to order a permanent stay under common law. Amanda also successfully applied for costs.

4. HKSAR v. Lee Kam Chuen (李錦泉) [2023] HKDC 1055

The Defendant, a van driver, while making a right turn at an intersection, struck an elderly person in a wheelchair crossing the road at a pedestrian crossing. The elderly person died on the way to the hospital. The Prosecution relied on expert evidence from a reconstruction to say that the Defendant could have seen the deceased more than 5 seconds before moment of impact had he paid proper attention to the traffic ahead. The Defendant was charged with dangerous driving causing death. Amanda represented him at trial in the District Court and successfully defended the Defendant against the charge of dangerous driving. Defendant was found not guilty of dangerous driving causing death.

5. HKSAR v. Koon Wing Yee (官永義), Sham Man Keung (沈文強) and others (HCCC No. 66/2010)

Businessman Mr. Koon Wing Yee and his co-defendants were charged with blackmailing Hui Chi Ming over a money dispute involving the shares of a locally listed company as well as possession of firearms. Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., defended one of the co-defendants Mr. Sham Man Keung before a jury at the Court of First Instance. The defendants were acquitted of all charges after trial.

6. HKSAR v. Wong Cho-shing, Lau Cheuk-nga, Pak Wing-bun and 4 Others (CACC No.38/2017)

Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., is representing Detective Sergeant Pak Wing-bun who was one of the 7 police officers convicted in the District Court for assaulting protestor Tsang Kin Chiu in the Central Occupy Movement in 2014 in an appeal against both conviction and sentence in the Court of Appeal.

7. HKSAR v. Yeung Ka Sing Carson (楊家誠) (CACC No. 101/2014)

Businessman and former president of English football club Birmingham City FC Mr. Carson Yeung was convicted of money laundering offences in the District Court. Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C. prepared the perfected grounds of appeal for Mr. Yeung in the appeal to the Court of Appeal before the release of the Court of Final Appeal's decision in Pang Hung Fai and conducted the first bail pending appeal application for Mr. Yeung.

8. HKSAR v. Wong Kwan (黃坤), Lew Mun-hung (劉夢熊), Yik Siu Hung (翼小紅) and Another (HCCC No. 561/2013)

Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., defended businesswoman Madam Yik Siu Hung in the complicated and lengthy jury trial at the Court of First Instance who was charged with conspiracy to defraud and money laundering, arising from the purchase of an overseas oilfield by a locally listed company Pearl Oriental Oil Limited. Madam Yik was convicted after trial

9. HKSAR v Wong Chun Yin (CACC 50/2018)

The Appellant was convicted of trafficking in 18.45kg of ketamine after trial and was sentenced to 22 years of imprisonment. Amanda conducted the appeal and was granted leave on two grounds. The Court of Appeal accepted her submissions and quashed Mr. Wong's conviction.

10. HKSAR v Shek Hiu Fung & 2 Others (DCCC892/2019; CACC281/2021)

Amanda successfully defended Madam Shek who was charged with conspiracy to defraud the Chinese University and was acquitted after trial with costs. The DOJ case stated the case to the Court of Appeal and appeal against the costs order and Amanda will be having conduct of the matter at the Court of Appeal level.

11. HKSAR v. Wong Ying Ho Kennedy (黃英豪), Chui Chuen Shun (徐傳順) and another (HCCC No. 409/2015)

Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., acted for Dr. Kennedy Wong Ying Ho (former chairman of a listed company and member of the Political Consultative Conference of the PRC) who is charged with bribery offences. The jury trial at the Court of First Instance originally scheduled to commence in February 2017, but upon the application by the Department of Justice, the Court ordered that the matter be transferred to the District Court for trial. Amanda is not involved in the District Court trial.

12. Application for a summons of private prosecution against Madam Chan Sock Fun (陳淑芬) (ESMP 9236/2016)

Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., represented Madam Chan Sock Fun, former organizer of Jacky Cheung's concerts, in resisting an application for a

summons of private prosecution against her for conspiracy to defraud in respect of the matters arising out of Jacky Cheung's ½ century world tour concerts. The application was dismissed by the Magistrate for lack of merits.

13. HKSAR v. Ip Man Man (葉民文) (DCCC No.801/2002)

Amanda, led by Mr. Wong S.C., defended Mr. Ip Man Man, former chairman of a locally listed company in a District Court trial, who was charged with embezzlement of funds belonging to the listed company of which he was the chairman and the majority shareholder. The Defendant was acquitted after trial.

14. Secretary for Justice v. Cheung Chung Chit (CACV No.206/2003 on appeal from HCAL No. 172/2002; [2003] 3 HKLRD 447); HKSAR v. Cheung Chung Chit (DCCC No.521/2002)

Amanda, led by a Senior Counsel, successfully applied for a permanent stay of criminal proceedings for businessman Mr. Cheung Chung Chit in a District Court trial who was charged with having in possession of counterfeit banknotes. Amanda, led by two Senior Counsel, also appeared in the High Court in an application for judicial review made by the Secretary for Justice against the decision of the trial judge in granting a permanent stay and also in an appeal against the High Court decision. The Court of Appeal reaffirmed the High Court decision in, *inter alia*, quashing the decision granting a permanent stay on grounds that the application was made on a premature basis.

Civil cases:

Xu Shengheng and Ever Sincere Investment Ltd v. Cheung Kwan and Cheung Kwan and Financial International Holdings Ltd v. Xu Shengheng & Others (HCA No. 291 of 2009; CACV No. 133 of 2012)

New World Development Co Ltd v. Sun Hung Kai Securities Ltd (2006) 9 HKCFAR 403

The Stock Exchange of Hong Kong Ltd v. New World Development Co. Ltd & Others (2006) 9 HKCFAR 234

Modern Office Technology Limited v. New World TMT Limited (CACV No. 292 & 293/2006

[Shortlist](#)

Commercial Crimes

General Crimes

General Civil and Chancery Practice



Jon K.H. Wong

Called to the Bar in HK in 2002

Jon K.H. Wong

Call

Hong Kong	2002
------------------	-------------

Education

Jon obtained his first degree in Business Administration in the United States and his second degree in Law in Hong Kong. He commenced full practice in 2002.

Appointment and Publications

Jon sat as deputy magistrate in the law courts of Hong Kong from 2012 to 2013. He is also a contributing editor of Archbold Hong Kong since 2010. He is an independent non-executive director of two listed companies in Hong Kong and also serves as legal advisor to the Hong Kong Police Disciplinary Board.

Practice Profile

Jon enjoys both civil and criminal matters with a predominant interest in the latter. He has extensive experience in handling complex commercial cases on fraud, corruption, money laundering as well as offences and regulations concerning the Securities and Futures Commission. Has has also defended numerous high profile cases, some of which lasted for over 100 days in court.

In recent years, Jon has advised on numerous corporate compliance issues to over 40 corporations that were successfully listed in the Hong Kong Stock Exchange.

[Shortlist](#)

Commercial Crimes

General Crimes

General Civil and Chancery Practice

Civil Liberties

Public Order Offences



Sylvia S.Y. Tung

Called to the Bar in HK in 2003

Sylvia S.Y. Tung

Call

Hong Kong	2003
------------------	-------------

Education

P.C.LL.	The University of Hong Kong	
Bachelor of Business Administration (Finance & Marketing)	Wilfrid Laurier University	
Executive Course in Corporate and Finance Law (Competition, Mergers & Acquisitions)	The University of Hong Kong	
Senior Management Course for Business & Finance Executives	Tsinghua University, Beijing	

Practice Profile

Sylvia regularly appears in the Magistracies, the District Court, the Court of First Instance, and the Court of Appeal as defence counsel in trials and appeals. She also appears in investigations and hearings of Securities and Futures Commission, Hong Kong Monetary Authority, Hong Kong Federation of Insurers and Liquor Licensing Board.

The nature of her cases includes: Commercial Fraud cases, Money Laundering cases, SFC investigations, ICAC Cases, Customs & Excise and Immigration cases, Drug offences, Theft offences, Assault and Wounding offences, and Sexual offences, etc.

[Shortlist](#)

Commercial Crimes

General Crimes

Family Law

General Civil and Chancery

Judicial Review and Public Law Matters



Amanda W.Y. Lee

Called to the Bar in HK in 2004

Amanda W.Y. Lee

Call

England & Wales	2004
Hong Kong	2004

Education and Accreditation

Accredited Mediator (General)	Hong Kong International Arbitration Center	2011
BVC	College of Law, London	2004
LL.B. (Hons)	University of East Anglia, UK	2002

Practice Profile

Local bilingual practitioner

Appearing as advocate in all levels of Court in Hong Kong for both civil and criminal matters. At the same time engaged in advisory and drafting works regularly

Appointment and services

Deputy Magistrate	2016
-------------------	------

Other experience

Experience in Criminal Cases

Extensive experience in white collar crimes: ICAC and CCB investigated crimes, corruption, LC fraud, conspiracy to defraud, money laundering, false accounting, using false instruments; other criminal cases including trafficking in dangerous drugs, sexual, industrial summons, immigration, trademarks and C & E cases.

Selected cases

HIGH COURT CRIMINAL PRACTICE (JURY TRIAL)

1. HKSAR v Hung Ching Pui, HCCC 113/2012

Defended a complicated commercial fraud case in a High Court jury trial. All the charges laid against the D8 were acquitted on a unanimous verdict. This case involved complicated experts' evidence on money flow and false transactions. The trial lasted for over 60 days.

2. HKSAR v Tsang Tsz Kin, HCCC 64/2015

Defended a trafficking in dangerous drugs case in a High Court jury trial. D was acquitted on an unanimous verdict. This case involved complicated legal issue on disclosure of acquittal of defence witness. The trial lasted for 13 days.

3. HKSAR v. Tsang Chung Man, HCCC 361/2015

Defended a trafficking in dangerous drugs case in a High Court jury trial. D was acquitted on an unanimous verdict. The trial lasted for 7 days.

4. HKSAR v Wong Chi Hung, HCCC 478/2016

Defended a trafficking in dangerous drugs case in a High Court jury trial. D was acquitted on an unanimous verdict. This is a retrial case which lasted for 11 days.

5. HKSAR v Cheung Chi Wong, HCCC 137/2014

Defended a conspiracy in trafficking case and conducted a voir dire lasted for 10 days in High Court.

DISTRICT COURT CRIMINAL PRACTICE

6. HKSAR v Lau Wah DCCC 46/2014

Defended a fraud case in the District Court. The trial lasted for over 10 days.

7. HKSAR v Liu Shaohui DCCC 852/2013

Defended a money laundering case in the District Court. The trial lasted for over 10 days.

8. HKSAR v Chan Yun Sum DCCC 596/2015

Defended one of the Defendants in the District Court case which was investigated by ICAC against an insurance agent and another defrauding insurance company.

9. Prosecuted and defended various criminal cases in Magistrates' Courts.

Selected criminal cases working with leading Senior Counsel\

10. HKSAR v Lu Da Yong and others DCCC 127/2005 (2005-2008)

Defended in a corruption and conspiracy to defraud case led by Mr Wong Man Kit SC. It involved a stay application based on infringement of professional legal privilege.

11. Re Lu Dayong CACV 139/2008 HCAL 45/2008

Made application for matters in relation to Article 35 of the Basic Law of HKSAR and Article 14 of the Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance Cap.383 led by Mr Denis Chang SC.

12. HKSAR v Agnes Wong Kin Yee (2008)

Defended a case of false accounting investigated by the ICAC in the District Court led by Counsel Mr Wong Man Kit SC.

13. HKSAR v Chan Siu & Others (2007)

Defended a case of copyright offence and money laundering in the District Court led by Mr Wong Man Kit SC. All charges were cleared.

14. HKSAR v Kwok Shun On CACC 369/2005

Led by Mr Wong Man Kit SC in the aforesaid criminal appeal in the Court of Appeal.

15. HKSAR v Lau Chun Kit HCMA 34/2012

Led by Mr Wong Man Kit SC in the aforesaid criminal appeal on an appeal of indecent assaults charges.

16. HKSAR v Cheung Man Lai HCMA 338/2016

Jointly represented the Applicant with another Counsel on an appeal of an indecent assault charge.

Experience in civil cases

Appearance for the Defence and the Plaintiff for hearings of common law

claims, personal injuries, employees' compensations, lands disputes, matrimonial, contract claims, IP, company winding up and capital reduction for a listed company, Mental Health Ordinance Part IV applications.

-

Selected civil cases

COMMON LAW CLAIMS

17. Tong Siu Wai v Poon Wing Fu [2012] 5 HKLRD 407, HCPI 44/2009

Appeared for trial proceedings and resisting an appeal.

18. Chan To Ning v Tsui Yu Ming, HCPI 849/2009 & CACV 262/2013

Appeared for trial and resisting a leave for appeal and applying for security for costs for appeal.

13. Advisory works and drafting works on Personal Injuries for over 100 cases.

ADMIRALTY

19. Forsa Multimedia v C&C Logistics (HK) Ltd, DCCJ 3467/2009,

HCMP 683/2011

Appeared for leave for appeal in a shipping matter.

COMMERCIAL AND COMPANY LAW

20. Appeared for a Petition of Capital reduction of a listed company in High Court.
21. Advisory works regarding legal issues of an IPO; Money Lenders Ordinance Cap 136; commercial contracts and agreements for listed companies.
22. Appeared for a listed company for an urgent Injunctive Action with leading Counsel Mr Clive Grossman.

LAND

23. Appeared for various interlocutory injunctive matters in relation to land and property disputes in High Court involving severance and Partition Ordinance.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTIES

24. Represent a famous martial art master in a trademark dispute case.

Experience in mediation

Conducted various mediations as a mediator for commercial, property and land related disputes.

Other related experience

Represented Claimants in CAT cases.

[Shortlist](#)

Commercial Crimes

General Crimes


Family Law

General Civil and Chancery Practice

Judicial Review and Public Law

Personal Injuries and Employees' Compensation

Mediation and ADR



Dick K.F. Lee

Called to the Bar in HK in 2006

Dick K.F. Lee

Call

Hong Kong (Admitted as Barrister-at-Law)	2006
---	-------------

Education

LL.M. (IT & IPL)	The University of Hong Kong	2009
P.C.LL.	City University of Hong Kong	2005
LL.B. (Hons)	City University of Hong Kong	2004

Appointment and services

Judicial Appointment

Deputy Magistrate (Kowloon City Magistrates' Courts)	2015 & 2024
Deputy Magistrate (West Kowloon Magistrates' Courts)	2017, 2018 & 2019
Deputy Magistrate (Eastern Magistrates' Courts)	2020

Prosecution (Counsel on fiat)

District Court	2020-present
-----------------------	---------------------

Listed in the Magistrates' Courts "A" List (Special Fiat)	2010-present
Listed in the Magistrates' Courts "B" List (General Fiat)	2006-2010

Publications

Safety and Construction, Archbold Hong Kong (2024) Chapter 44	Contributing Editor
"Rethinking the Fairness of Additional Penalty in Fixed Penalty Offences", Hong Kong Lawyer (Thomson Reuters, February 2023) , pp 46-50.	Co-author

Practice profile Dick was called to the Hong Kong Bar in 2006. He has been admitted to the degree of Master of Laws in Information Technology and Intellectual Property Law in 2009.

Dick is a bilingual counsel and practice in both criminal and civil law in all level of courts.

In respect of his criminal practice, Dick specializes in both trials and appeals with extensive experience in defending industrial summonses, all others departmental summons, serious and complex white-collar crime, such as money laundering, ICAC, CCB and SFC investigated crimes, corruptions, complex commercial frauds, conspiracy offences, sexual offences, trafficking in dangerous drugs, immigration cases, customs and excise cases, forfeiture proceedings, road traffic matters and many others.

Dick has also prosecuted cases for the HKSAR since 2006.

Dick sat as a Deputy Magistrate in 2015, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and 2024.

In respect of his civil practice, Dick's experience covers commercial disputes, land disputes, personal injuries, town planning board appeal, judicial review, copyright and trademark disputes, company shareholders' disputes, matrimonial proceedings and many others. Language Fluent in Cantonese and English. [Shortlist](#) Notable Cases

Court of Final Appeal

HKSAR v. CHAN MAN TAT (陳敏達), unreported, FAMC 43/2020; [2021] HKCFA 8

HKSAR v. Kan, Brian Ping Chee, unreported, FAMC 64/2012 (Led by MK Wong SC);

Chan Wing Yiu v. HKSAR, unreported, FAMC60/2008 (Led by MK Wong SC).

Court of Appeal

律政司司長 對 李汶錡, unreported, CAAR 17/2021; [2022] HKCA 719

律政司司長 訴 SWS [2021] 1 HKLRD 1117; CAAR 1/2020; [2020] HKCA 788

HKSAR v. Cheng Pak Kit, unreported, CACC 232/2019; [2020] HKCA 555

香港特別行政區 訴 呂浚森及另二人 [2021] 4 HKLRD 148; CACC 331/2018; [2020] HKCA 516

HKSAR v. Kiu Mei Ling and others, unreported, CACC 110/2019; [2021] HKCA 78

香港特別行政區 訴 游祖怡, unreported, CACC 236/2018; [2020] HKCA 764

香港特別行政區 訴 陳敏達, unreported, CACC 344/2018; [2020] HKCA 305

香港特別行政區 訴 甘偉興, unreported, CACC 178/2018; [2020] HKCA 301

HKSAR v. Lau Man Chi, unreported, CACC 326/2018; [2019] HKCA 139

香港特別行政區 訴 韋道領, unreported, CACC 188/2017; [2018] HKCA 511

香港特別行政區 訴 陳文耀, unreported, CACC 177/2017; [2018] HKCA 804

HKSAR v. Siu Kwok Chun [2017] 4 HKLRD 102

HKSAR v. Cheung Ka Yan, unreported, CACC 406/2014

香港特別行政區 訴 馬迪倫 [2015] 1 HKLRD 374

HKSAR v. Ma Tik Lun Dicky [2015] 1 HKLRD 380

HKSAR v. Chui Yuet Kwan Ady, unreported, CACC 404/2014

HKSAR v. Chan Pun Chung and Tang Yan Leung, unreported, CACC 229/2014 (Led by MK Wong, SC)

香港特別行政區 訴 黃泓偉又名黃偉雄, unreported, CACC 104/2013

HKSAR v. Kan, Brian Ping Chee, unreported, CACC 174/2011 (Led by MK Wong SC);

HKSAR v. Yiu Chan Hung, unreported, CACC 462/2009;

HKSAR v. Cheng Lung Cheong Brian, unreported, CACC 174/2011 (Led by MK Wong, SC)

香港特別行政區 訴 譚悅華, unreported, CACC 473/2010 (Led by MK Wong, SC)

HKSAR v. Chiu Kit and Another, unreported, CACC 210/2009

Magistracy Appeal

香港特別行政區 訴 林曉樺, unreported, HCMA 32/2022; [2022] HKCFI 2567

香港特別行政區 訴 陳柏傑, unreported, HCMA 50/2021; [2022] HKCFI 1262

香港特別行政區 訴 何梓洋, unreported, HCMA 222/2021; [2022] HKCFI 1546

香港特別行政區 訴 LHY, unreported, HCMA 126/2019; [2021] HKCFI 244

香港特別行政區 訴 區煒冲, unreported, HCMA 241/2019; [2020] HKCFI 351

香港特別行政區 訴 柳志華, unreported, HCMA 12/2019; [2019] HKCFI 1851

香港特別行政區 訴 林韋彤, unreported, HCMA 68/2017; [2018] HKCFI 69

HKSAR v. Kwan On Construction Co. Ltd., unreported, HCMA 11/2017; [2018] HKCFI 1640 (Led by Mr. Andrew Bruce, SC)

香港特別行政區 訴 梁均棟, unreported, HCMA 388/2016

香港特別行政區 訴 魏俊傑, unreported, HCMA 450/2016

香港特別行政區 訴 永明建築有限公司, unreported, HCMA 647/2015

香港特別行政區 訴 黃鴻泳, unreported, HCMA 321/2014

香港特別行政區 訴 盧炳輝, unreported, HCMA 302/2014

香港特別行政區 訴 港澳(亞洲)工程有限公司, unreported, HCMA 84/2014

香港特別行政區 訴 趙志導, unreported, HCMA 52/2013

香港特別行政區 訴 羅華保, unreported, HCMA 501/2013 (Led by Selwyn Yu, SC)

香港特別行政區 訴 陳德培, unreported, HCMA 377/2013

香港特別行政區 訴 周麗珍, unreported, HCMA 751/2012

香港特別行政區 訴 余俊然, unreported, HCMA 766/2012

香港特別行政區 訴 簡炳墀, unreported, HCMA 48/2012

香港特別行政區 訴 岑仲樑, unreported, HCMA 8/2012 (Led by MK Wong, SC)

香港特別行政區 訴 聯力建築有限公司, unreported, HCMA 820/2011

香港特別行政區 訴 何志輝 [2010] 4 HKLRD 147

HKSAR v. Ho Chi Fai [2010] 4 HKLRD 151

香港特別行政區 訴 毛偉鴻, unreported, HCMA 43/2010

香港特別行政區 訴 林嘉榮, unreported, HCMA 107/2009

香港特別行政區 訴 吳壽強, unreported, HCMA 292/2009

香港特別行政區 訴 李文飛, unreported, HCMA 730/2009

香港特別行政區 訴 吳克江, unreported, HCMA 1037/2008

香港特別行政區 訴 陳榮耀, unreported, HCMA 205/2008

香港特別行政區 訴 黎正匡, unreported, HCMA 1037/2008

香港特別行政區 訴 蔡崎, unreported, HCMA 621/2008

Notable Civil Cases

Stephane Wong v. Town Planning Board, Town Planning Appeal Case No. 5 of 2014

J.T. Ltd v. Kung Tat Chow [2014] 5 HKLRD 180 (HCMP 435/2013)

Great Crown International Ltd v. Ample Sino Holdings Ltd, unreported, DCCJ 845/2012;

Sun Hing Hong Travel Agency Co Ltd v. Westminster Travel Ltd, unreported, HCA 662/2010 & HCA 680/2010 (Led by Walker Sham, Esq);

Fuk Fai Decoration Ltd v. Leung Shuk Ching, unreported, DCCJ 4881/2008;

Chan Hoi Fai Raymond v. Chan Kwai Keung [2007] 5 HKC 473 (HCMP 1517/2007)Commercial Crimes


General Crimes

Family Law

General Civil and Chancery Practice

Judicial Review and Public Law Matters

Personal Injuries and Employees' Compensation



Leona Chan

Called to the Bar in HK in 2010

LEONA CHAN

Call

Hong Kong Bar	2010
Accredited as mediator by HKIAC	2013

Education and Awards

2008	LLB (Hons) University of Bristol, UK (first class of honour in Intellectual Property)
2009	PCLL, University of Hong Kong
2010	Middle Temple Advocacy Training Scholarship

Language

2018	Deputy Magistrate (Kowloon City Magistrates' Courts) Deputy Magistrate - Eastern Magistrates' Courts
2019	Deputy Magistrate (Eastern Magistrates' Courts) Deputy Adjudicator - Small Claims Tribunal
2020	Deputy Magistrate - West Kowloon Magistrates' Courts
2023	Deputy Magistrate - Eastern Magistrates' Courts

Practice profile

Leona is called to the Hong Kong Bar in 2010 and has since been developing a broad spectrum of practice in criminal and civil matters, with focus on the former.

Having extensive experience in handling all areas of criminal cases both at trial and appellate levels, Leona is frequently briefed for cases of sexual offences, ICAC and CCB investigated crimes, money laundering, fraud and forgery. She also represents clients in disciplinary hearings by medical council and other regulatory tribunals.

Leona is regularly instructed by Department of Justice to prosecute in District Courts and selected cases in Magistrates' courts as special fiat.

Since 2018, Leona has been appointed as deputy magistrate on a number of occasions.

Leona accepts instructions to represent clients under SFC investigation to answer written enquiries and attend investigation interview. She has been appointed as independent investigation committee in appraising possible misconduct and providing advice for companies pending to be listed on the Hong Kong Stock Exchange.

In recent years, Leona handles civil cases involving complex factual matrix and criminal elements whereas her emphasis on analysing evidence and cross examination of witnesses is highly appreciated by the clients.

[Shortlist](#)

Commercial Crimes

Death Inquests

Disciplinary Hearings

Family Law

General Civil and Chancery Practice

General Crimes

Mediation and ADR

Personal Injuries and Employees' Compensation

Public Order Offences